## As It Is Written: Chapter Five - Part Two

## Introduction

Last week, we demonstrated that the day One/Day Four "Problem" was really no problem at all for those who hold to a traditional understanding of Genesis 1. Next we will briefly examine four additional "problems" with a literal, historical view of Genesis 1 according to advocates of the Framework Hypothesis.

The Seventh Day "Problem" of Gene	esis 2:1-3						
The absence of "e a	and m	" at the	end of day	seven			
indicates that God's S r	is e	and n	ot limited	to one			
twenty-four d Hebrews 4:1-4 v	tł	nat this rest go	es beyond	just o			
d and is o now. The	nus, "d,	' cannot be ta	ken l	in			
Genesis 1.							
Responses to the Seventh Day "Probl	lem" of Gen	esis 2:1-3					
1. Rested in Genesis 2:2-3 means	"d	form w	_" not				
"o the S	" The w	whole point is	that c				
was c, so God c_	in F	His work of c_		_•			
2. The absence of "ea	2. The absence of "e and m" indicates that there is no						
n c day forth	coming.						
3. Note that t o p	)	for each oth	er day are	also			
missing in Genesis 2:2-3 ("let the	here be," "ar	nd it was so,"	and "it was	s good")			
4. If framework advocates are r_	that a	an absence of	evening	and			
morning" means d s	was lo	onger than a r	——————	d,			
they should concede that its pr	esence in the	e d	of d	ays o			
s means that these were l_		, t	f	hour days.			
They cannot have it both ways!	!						
5. The view of an e S	S	c	Ex	odus 20:9-			
11.							

6. F	rom John 5:16-17 we learn that God c His w of
P	, even the S D
7. H	Iebrews 4:1-4 must be ut It does not
p	rove that the o Sabbath Day was u "It is not
e_	the text's original meaning but e it." This is
si	milar to how the author of H treats
$\mathbf{N}$	I (Hebrews 7:1-3).
The Lo	ng Day of Genesis 2:4 "Problem"
G	Genesis 2:4 refers to all of God's creation being done on o d thus
showing	that "day" may not be c a twenty-four hour period in
Genesis	1.
Respons	ses to the Long Day of Genesis 2:4 "Problem"
1. D	Day ("Yom") has a p in f of it in Hebrew and should
th	nus be t "on the day when," "at the time when," or "when"
(s	ee NIV). This word o occurs sixty other times in the Old
T	estament with the a m
2. "]	Even if Genesis 2:4 uses 'day' in a ds, this would not
u <sub>.</sub>	the meaning of the first chapter."
The Rev	versed Chronology of Events of Genesis 2:18-19 "Problem"
G	Genesis 2:18-19 indicates that m was m before the
a	in reverse order of Genesis 1. This indicates that the a of

Respo	onse to the Reversed Chro	onology of I	Events of Ger	nesis 2:18	8-19 <b>"P</b> roblem"
	The verb "formed" is be	tter t	"h	f	" (note NIV
and I	ESV alternate reading).				
The I	Long Day of Genesis 2:18	-23 <b>"P</b> roble	m"		
	Adam could not p	have	named all th	ne a	in one
twenty	y-four hour period and th	en get E	_ as well.		
Respo	onses to the Long Day of	Genesis 2:1	8-23 <b>"P</b> roble:	m"	
1.	"We must understand th	nat not all s_	(	of particu	llar 'k' of
	animals existed from the	very beginn	ning, thereby	l	the
	p n	of ani	mals in this p	oroject."	
2.	Adam is in the G	of E	and is n		_ those
	a only ("ev	ery beast of	the field" no	t "every	beast of the earth").
	Note the exclusion of s_	c	in	our text.	
3.	"We must recognize that	t Adam was	u	_ at that	time and surely had
	a greater i	C	, enj	oying a n	1
	unencumbered by s	and d		·"	